

**Aging and Disability Resource Connection (ADRC)
Advisory Committee Meeting
November 13, 2015 Meeting Notes**

Committee Members Present:

Teresa Favuzzi	Victoria Jump (by phone)
Derrell Kelch (by phone)	Maribel Marin
Elsa Quezada	Dani Anderson
Ana Acton (by phone)	Eldon Luce
Brenda Schmitthenner	Ann Guerra
Paula Margeson	Tony Anderson
Jonn Melrose	Michi Gates

State Agency Representatives Present:

Lora Connolly, California Department of Aging (CDA)
Ed Long, CDA
Robin Jordan, CDA
Jay Harris, Department of Rehabilitation (DOR)
Karli Holkko, Department of Health Care Services (DHCS)
Anita Shumaker, California Department of Veterans Affairs (CalVet)
Paula Acosta, CDA (by phone)

Agenda Item 1: Opening

Committee Chairs Derrell Kelch and Teresa Favuzzi called the meeting to order and welcomed attendees. Committee members introduced themselves. Committee members reviewed and accepted the October 2, 2015 Committee Meeting notes pending the revision to add the list of designated ADRCs on page two.

Agenda Item 2: Review and Finalize ADRC Advisory Charter

Members discussed the proposed duration of membership on the Advisory Committee. There was discussion about what configuration would best ensure continuity and both AAA and ILC representation.

Consensus: Members accepted the duration of membership terms as proposed.

Agenda Item 3: San Diego ADRC Presentation

Brenda Schmitthener presented the history and status of the ADRC partnership in San Diego. Her presentation was circulated to members.

Agenda Item 4: ADRC Designation Criteria

Members reviewed the proposal and discussed a general preference for: 1) A longer ADRC development period and 2) Acknowledgement by the State for achieving “levels” or “phases” of ADRC designation. The rationale discussed included:

- Each county area is diverse and unique.
- It has taken the San Diego ADRC 11 years to achieve its current functional level.
- Local discussions and new partnerships take time.
- The trajectory for ADRC partnership in a local area cannot be predicted by other states’ experiences due to California’s size, geography, diversity, infrastructure, etc.

The Committee agreed that a plan for three phases of designation may be more realistic. In addition, Committee members agreed that standards and benchmarks should be developed for each phase.

Members discussed some of the challenges in bringing ADRC partnerships together. With no funding, a question was posed as to what are compelling reasons for a community to consider ADRC development. Some of the reasons mentioned were:

- Pursuing potential new funding through contracting with managed health care plans.
- Capitalizing on AAA and ILC expertise by contracting with managed care plans for facility transition assistance and caregiver support.
- Mapping and identifying new sources of AAA and ILC financing
- Overcoming the single silos (based on single consumer profiles) of LTSS services to streamline consumer access
- Achieving multi-year, instead of year-to-year, resource planning
- Taking advantage of federal and state models for re-aligning fiscal incentives to enable consumers to avoid higher cost facility care

CDA acknowledged that partnership development takes time and will provide technical support to help facilitate discussions at the local level.

Teresa Favuzzi suggested forming a subcommittee to work on the following:

- Develop phases of ADRC designation without imposing time limits.
- Identify and establish achievable benchmarks for each developmental phase.
- Recommend a review process.

Eldon Luce (Placer County ADRC), Dani Anderson (ILC Ventura County), and Mike Reaker (Agency on Aging, Area 4) volunteered to work with Robin Jordan on this subcommittee. The group will report out on its progress at the next Committee meeting.

Agenda Item 5: California Community Transitions (CCT) Update

Karli Holkko provided CCT update. Key points included that:

- CCT planning is current through 2020.
- Training of local organizations is happening in Los Angeles and Northern California.
- CCT training is open to ADRC partners.
- Person-centered planning is a cornerstone of CCT but has challenges related differentiating between needs and wants, particularly as they relate to housing.
- More general discussion took place about potential opportunities for collaboration between CCT and ADRC.

Agenda Item 5: Next Steps

- Next meeting: January 15, 2016
- Develop a draft description of ADRC phases with benchmarks for discussion on January 15, 2016
- Develop a detailed matrix describing potential ADRC funding sources for discussion on January 15, 2016